

Tennessee Valley Authority, Post Office Box 2000, Decatur, Alabama 35609-2000

November 29, 2012

10 CFR 50.73

ATTN: Document Control Desk U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

> Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant, Unit 3 Facility Operating License No. DPR-68 NRC Docket No. 50-296

Subject: Licensee Event Report 50-296/2012-005-01

Reference: Letter from TVA to NRC, "Licensee Event Report 50-296/2012-005-00,"

dated July 30, 2012.

In the referenced letter dated July 30, 2012, the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) submitted a Licensee Event Report containing details of an automatic reactor scram due to an actuation of a main transformer differential relay. Additional analysis was performed and TVA has revised the causal analysis. The TVA is submitting this supplemented report in accordance with Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A) and 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(B).

There are no new regulatory commitments contained in this letter. Should you have any questions concerning this submittal, please contact J. E. Emens, Jr., Nuclear Site Licensing Manager, at (256) 729-2636.

Respectfully,

K. J. Polson Vice President

Enclosure: Licensee Event Report 50-296/2012-005-01 - Automatic Reactor Scram

Due to an Actuation of a Main Transformer Differential Relay

cc (w/ Enclosure):

NRC Regional Administrator - Region II

NRC Senior Resident Inspector - Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant

TEZZ

## **ENCLOSURE**

# Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant, Unit 3

# Licensee Event Report 50-296/2012-005-01

Automatic Reactor Scram Due to an Actuation of a Main Transformer Differential Relay

See Attached

NRC FO (10-2010)		LICENS			AR REGULA		OMMISS		Estimated 80 hours. fed back to Section (T- 0001, or by Office of In Management information NRC may information		nse to compl learned are in comments re lear Regulato infocollects.re egulatory Affa fashington, Di tot display a	egarding ory Con esource airs, NE C 2050 current	this manda ated into the g burden es mmission, W e@nrc.gov, a EOB-10202, o3. If a meatly valid ON	tory constitution to the timate washing and to the total (3150-ans use the total tot	illection sing proto FC pton, Interpretate the December 104) ed to trol nu	ocess and MA/Privacy OC 20555- isk Officer, Office of impose an imber, the
1. FACIL Brow		AME erry Nu	clear P	Plant, Ui	nit 3			- 1		T NUMBER 05000296		3. PA		of 6	3	
4. TITLE	≞: Au	tomatic	React	or Scra	m Due to	an Ac	tuation	n of a	Main T	ransformer	Differe	ntial	Relay			
5. E\	/ENT [	ATE	6.	LER NUM	BER	7. RI	EPORT [	DATE			HER FACI	LITIES				
монтн	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUEN NUMBE		монтн	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY N/A						050	00
05	29	2012	2012	- 005	- 01	11	29	2012	PACILITY N/A	Y NAME				DOCKE	050	
9. OPER	1		20   20   20   20   20	. THIS RE 2201(b) 2201(d) 2203(a)(1 2203(a)(2 2203(a)(2 2203(a)(2	) ()(i) ()(ii)	☐ 20 ☐ 20 ☐ 20 ☐ 50	ED PURS 0.2203(a) 0.2203(a) 0.2203(a) 0.36(c)(1) 0.36(c)(1) 0.36(c)(2)	(3)(i) (3)(ii) (4) (i)(A) (ii)(A)	] [ ] ]	REQUIREMEN    50.73(a)(2)(   50.73(a)(2)(   50.73(a)(2)(   50.73(a)(2)(   50.73(a)(2)(   50.73(a)(2)(   50.73(a)(2)(2)(   50.73(a)(2)(2)(2)(1)(2)(2)(1)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)	(i)(C) (ii)(A) (ii)(B) (iii) (iv)(A)	CFR §	50.77   50.77   50.77   50.77	3(a)(2 3(a)(2 3(a)(2 3(a)(2 3(a)(2	!)(vii) !)(viii) !)(viii) !)(ix)( !)(x)	(A) (B)
076			☐ 20 ☐ 20	.2203(a)(2 .2203(a)(2 .2203(a)(2	()(iv) ()(v) ()(vi)	□ 50 □ 50 □ 50	).46(a)(3) ).73(a)(2) ).73(a)(2)	(ii) (i)(A) (i)(B)	☐ 50.73(a)(2)(v)(B) ☐ 50.73(a)(2)(v)(C) ☐ 50.73(a)(2)(v)(D)		(v)(B) (v)(C)	☐ 73.71(a)(5) ☐ OTHER Specify in Abstract below or in NRC Form 366A			ow or in NRC	
FACILITY N		Licensi	ng Eng	gineer	12	. LICENS	SEE CON	TACT	FOR THIS	S LER	TELE		NUMBER (II			ode)
			13. CON	IPLETE C	NE LINE F	OR EAC	H COMP	ONENT	FAILURE	DESCRIBED	IN THIS R	EPOF	रा			
CAUS	E	SYSTEM	con	MPONENT	MANU- FACTURER		ORTABLE O EPIX		CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONE	ENT	MANU- FACTURE			ORTABLE O EPIX
<del></del>		44	SUDDI	EMENTAL	DEDORT	- VDECT				45 54					<sub>T</sub>	
14. SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED  15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION VES (If yes, complete 15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)  N/A								MONTH N/A	DA'	$\rightarrow$	YEAR N/A					
ABSTRAC	CT (Lim	it to 1400 s	paces, i.e.,	approxima	tely 15 single	-spaced ty	pewritten i	lines)					14//	147		14// \
	On Mautor the Maiffer signaturbing reactions.	flay 29, 2 matically flain Gen ential re al. Main ne bypas or water Groups	e012, and scram lerator. lay 387 steam level ir level ir	t 0331 C med due The ca T, which isolation es. No E nitiation	entral Da to fast cluse of the caused to valves re mergenc set points	ylight T losure of load re the scra emained y Core were re	ime, the of turbing eject sign. All dispensions Cooling eached	e Browne con gnal w system and re g System I. Prin	trol valv as actuans resp actor pr em or R nary Col	y Nuclear P es, initiated ation of new onded as ex essure was eactor Core ntainment Is was control	by a load ly installed cpected to controlled Isolation colation S	d rejected made of the control of th	ect signa ain tran load re the ma bling Sys m isolat	al on sforr ject in stem ions	ner	
	Thre meth revie inade	e root ca odology w by Ter equate m	/equipn nnesse nanage	nent use e Valley ment, ov	d for curr Authority	ent tran (TVA) and acc	sforme Engine	er (CT) ering	bench of a ven	uctions, and testing; 2) in dor prepare N Maintenar	adequated design	char	nge; and	(S b		

The corrective actions to prevent recurrence include: 1) revise the CT bench test procedure; 2) revise the human performance procedure to incorporate technical conscience principles, focus technical task risk factors, mitigating strategies, and decision making; and 3) using the Nuclear Operating Model, utilize the TVA's strategic performance management process to ensure management alignment in the ownership and accountability for leadership expectations at BFN.

#### NRC FORM 366A

(10-2010)

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

CONTINUATION SHEET

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)		LER NUMBER (6	PAGE (3)	
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	
Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant, Unit 3	05000296	2012	005	01	2 of 6

#### NARRATIVE

## I. PLANT CONDITION(S)

At the time of discovery, Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant (BFN), Unit 3, was in Mode 1 at approximately 76 percent power.

### II. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

## A. Event

On May 29, 2012, at 0331 Central Daylight Time (CDT), the BFN, Unit 3, reactor automatically scrammed due to fast closure of turbine control valves [V] that was initiated by a load reject signal on the Main Generator [TB]. The cause of the load reject signal was the actuation of the newly installed main transformer differential relay [RLY] 387T which caused the scram.

All systems responded as expected to the load reject signal. Main steam isolation valves (MSIVs) remained open and reactor pressure was controlled on the main turbine bypass valves. No Emergency Core Cooling System (ECCS) [BJ][BO][BM][SB] or Reactor Core Isolation Cooling (RCIC) System [BN] reactor water level initiation set points were reached. Primary Containment Isolation System (PCIS) [BD] isolations from Groups 2, 3, 6, and 8 were received, and reactor water level was controlled by the Feedwater System [SJ].

## B. Inoperable Structures, Components, or Systems that Contributed to the Event

There were no inoperable structures, components, or systems that contributed to the event.

### C. <u>Dates and Approximate Times of Major Occurrences</u>

May 29, 2012, 0331 CDT

The BFN, Unit 3, reactor automatically scrammed due to fast closure of turbine control valves that was initiated by a load reject signal on the Main Generator.

May 29, 2012, 0622 CDT

The BFN reported the event to the NRC.

## D. Other Systems or Secondary Functions Affected

There were no other systems or secondary functions affected.

## E. Method of Discovery

This event was identified when the BFN, Unit 3, reactor was automatically scrammed due to actuation of the main transformer differential relay 387T.

# F. Operator Actions

Operations personnel entered emergency operating instruction due to low reactor water level (below +2 inches).

#### NRC FORM 366A

(10-2010)

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

CONTINUATION SHEET

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)		LER NUMBER (6	PAGE (3)		
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER		
Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant, Unit 3	05000296	2012	005	01	3 of 6	

#### NARRATIVE

## G. Safety System Responses

All systems responded as expected to the load reject signal. MSIVs remained open and reactor pressure was controlled on the main turbine bypass valves. No ECCS or RCIC System reactor water level initiation set points were reached. PCIS isolations from Groups 2, 3, 6, and 8 were received, and reactor water level was controlled by the Feedwater System.

### III. CAUSE OF THE EVENT

### A. Immediate Cause

The immediate cause of this event was the vendor (Asea Brown Boveri) manufactured a current transformer (CT) [XCT] with a reversed polarity and the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) installed this CT in the plant.

### **B. Root Cause**

There were three root causes identified:

- The Energy Delivery (ED) group procedure, TOM-FTM-6-INXF-002 (Testing Instrument Transformers), instructions and testing methodology/equipment were inadequate and did not meet the requirements of a Nuclear Power Group (NPG) procedure per NPG-SPP-01.2, Administration of Site Technical Procedures, such as inclusion of the human performance tools proven to reduce errors for testing high risk trip-sensitive CT components.
- 2. The TVA's acceptance review by Engineering of the design change prepared by an outside vendor was inadequate for modification to high risk trip-sensitive components.
- 3. Management, oversight, and accountability by the BFN Maintenance organization for work performed by the Protective Relay Group (PRG) were inadequate.

### C. Contributing Factor

The written instructions for the on-line commissioning test of high risk trip-sensitive components were inadequate.

#### IV. ANALYSIS OF THE EVENT

The TVA is submitting this report in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A), as any event or condition that resulted in manual or automatic actuation of any of the systems listed in paragraph 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(B), reactor protection system including: reactor scram or reactor trip.

The scram investigation identified that the main transformer differential relay 387T actuated because a manufacturing defect in a new generator bus CT caused an incorrect excitation input to the new main transformer differential relay 387T that was installed during the BFN, Unit 3, refueling outage 15. The manufacturing defect was the reversed polarity of a CT. Actuation of the main transformer differential relay 387T

#### NRC FORM 366A

(10-2010)

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

CONTINUATION SHEET

	CONTINUATION	4 DITEL				
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)	-	LER NUMBER (6	5)	PAGE (3)	
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER			
Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant, Unit 3	05000296	2012	005	01	4 of 6	İ

#### NARRATIVE

caused the load reject that resulted in a reactor scram. Subsequent investigation revealed that the polarity of one of the 36 newly installed CTs was reversed giving the main transformer differential relay 387T a false signal.

This event was a result of multiple breakdowns in personnel performance. The lack of management oversight resulted in the use of a PRG technician who was not fully qualified to perform bench testing of the new CTs. The bench test of the new CTs was required to verify the CTs polarity. Since one of the 36 newly installed CTs polarity was reversed, it gave the main transformer differential relay 387T a false signal which resulted in a scram. The use of a test procedure, which did not include NPG human error prevention techniques, during the performance of the bench test by the PRG technician contributed to the failure to identify the faulty CT prior to installation. The lack of self checking by engineers and work planning resulted in the use of an inadequate test procedure that was not approved for use by NPG.

### **Extent of Condition**

The extent of condition is limited to the CTs on the Common Service Station Transformer (CSST) [XFMR] differential circuits at BFN.

## **Extent of Cause**

The extent of cause includes all ED group field test manual procedures used by PRG at TVA nuclear facilities, vendor prepared design changes on trip-sensitive components that have not yet been installed in the plant, and inadequate oversight, ownership, and accountability by BFN Management.

### V. ASSESSMENT OF SAFETY CONSEQUENCES

All systems responded as expected to the load reject signal. MSIVs remained open and reactor pressure was controlled on the main turbine bypass valves. No ECCS or RCIC System reactor water level initiation set points were reached. PCIS isolations from Groups 2, 3, 6, and 8 were received, and reactor water level was controlled by the Feedwater System in the normal band.

Therefore, TVA concluded that there was no significant reduction to the health and safety of the public.

VI. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS - The corrective actions are being managed by TVA's corrective action program.

### A. Immediate Corrective Actions

- 1. The CT polarity input to the main transformer differential relay 387T was corrected by swapping leads.
- 2. The polarity was tested satisfactorily on all remaining newly installed CTs.

### NARRATIVE

## B. Corrective Actions

- Revised the vendor manual for the newly installed main transformer differential relay to provide adequate guidance on when and how to perform an on-line commissioning test.
- Revise procedure NPG-SPP-09.3, Plant Modifications and Engineering Change Control, and procedure NPG-SPP-06.9.3, Post-Modification Testing, to require monitoring of all trip risk components that are capable of being monitored during return to operations.
- 3. Review the transformer and relay connections as well as the relay logic on the CSSTs at BFN to determine if a CT with reverse polarity would be self-revealing or if a field test will be required to ensure polarity is correct. For those CTs where reverse polarity is not considered self-revealing, enter the condition into the Corrective Action Program for resolution.
- 4. Revise the ED group field test manual procedures used by PRG at TVA nuclear facilities and issue as approved NPG procedures. The revised procedures will include required testing equipment and methodology, critical steps, second party verification, specific acceptance criteria, and NPG standards and expectations for human error techniques and risk sensitive activities.
- 5. Retested the new BFN, Unit 1, Main Generator CTs prior to their installation during BFN, Unit 1, refueling outage 9.
- 6. Revise procedure NEDP-5, Design Document Reviews, to establish definition, objective criteria, and requirements for each type of review (e.g., owner's acceptance review, technical review), and the situations when each type of review is appropriate.

### C. Corrective Actions to Prevent Recurrence

- Revise the CT bench test procedure TOM-FTM-6-INXF-002 and issue as an approved NPG procedure per NPG-SPP-01.2, Administration of Site Technical Procedures.
- 2. Revise procedure NPG-SPP-18.2.2, Human Performance, to incorporate technical conscience principles, focus technical task risk factors, mitigating strategies, and decision making.
- 3. Using the Nuclear Operating Model, utilize TVA's strategic performance management process to ensure management alignment in the ownership and accountability for leadership expectations at BFN.

#### VII. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

# A. Failed Components

There were no failed components.

(10-2010)

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

CONTINUATION SHEET

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)		LER NUMBER (6	)	PAGE (3)	
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER		
Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant, Unit 3	05000296	2012	005	01	6 of 6	1

#### NARRATIVE

### B. Previous Similar Events

A search of BFN, Units 1, 2, and 3, LERs for approximately the past five years did not identify any similar events.

A search was performed on the BFN corrective action program. Similar concerns regarding management oversight, change management, and inadequate procedures were identified in problem evaluation reports (PERs) 139781, 151772, 162391, and 177395.

## C. Additional Information

The corrective action document for this report is PER 558183.

# D. <u>Safety System Functional Failure Consideration</u>

This event was not considered a safety system functional failure in accordance with NEI 99-02.

# E. Scram With Complications Consideration

This event was not a complicated scram in accordance with NEI 99-02.

### **VIII. COMMITMENTS**

There are no commitments.